

# DEA National Electricity Grid Infrastructure SEA

The identification of suitable routing corridors for the efficient and effective expansion of Electricity Grid Infrastructure (EGI)

#### NORTH WEST PROVINCE CONSULTATION

29 May 2014









#### **Electrical Grid Infrastructure SEA Project Team**

**Project Coordinator: DEA** 

**Dee Fischer** 

**Project Coordinator** 

**Surprise Zwane** 

**Project Manager** 

**Project Partner: Eskom** 

**Ronald Marais** 

Head of Strategic Transmission Planning

**Kevin Leask** 

**Chief Transmission Engineer** 

**Environmental Consultants: CSIR** 

Paul Lochner

SEA Project Leader

Marshall Mabin

EGI SEA Project Manager

Joint Service Provider: South African National Biodiversity Institute

Jeffrey Manuel and Fahiema Daniels









#### Meeting Objectives

- Inform provincial government on the national electricity grid infrastructure strategic environmental assessment process;
- Consult on the location of preliminary corridors;
- Consult on environmental and engineering sensitivities identified within the corridors;
- Identify what additional information (e.g. SDFs, EMFs, IDPs) should be taken into consideration when considering electricity grid infrastructure development within the province.










### Vision and Objectives of SEA

<u>Vision</u> for the SEA: Strategic electrical grid infrastructure is expanded in an environmentally responsible and <u>efficient</u> manner that responds <u>effectively</u> to the country's economic and social development needs.



#### **Objectives of the SEA:**

- Identify <u>strategic corridors</u> for future Electrical Grid Infrastructure (EGI) expansion.
- Determine <u>high level suitability</u> from an environmental, economic and social perspective.
- <u>Streamline the authorisation process</u> for EGI within the corridors.
- Enable Eskom greater flexibility when undertaking land negotiation.
- Enable upfront strategic investment
- Promote collaborative governance between authorising authorities.
- Develop a site specific development protocol.









# **SEA Objective: Legal context**

- SEA not taking away the need for Environmental Authorisation i.e.
   NOT 'delisting' activities using Section 24A of NEMA
- SEA will not lead to a new process, rather keep to existing process (i.e. BA) but adapt.
- EIA regs will be modified to allow for certain listed activities in certain areas to be authorised through an 'adapted' BA process, provided there has been some form of pre-assessment undertaken.
- Level of BA assessment can either be more or less comprehensive than current process depending on the area selected for development.







#### **Approach to SEA**

- No single approach to SEA can be applied to all circumstances
- Set of common principles for the application of SEA (Guideline Document: Strategic Environmental Assessment in South Africa, DEAT and CSIR, 2000)

Content		Pı	Process	
•	Sustainability	•	Flexible	
•	Opportunities and constraints	•	Strategic	
•	Levels of environmental quality	•	Participative	
		•	Alternatives	
		•	Precaution and continuous improvement	


- Three broad categories of SEA:
  - Policy SEA
  - Spatial plan and regional SEA
  - Sector plan and programme SEA












#### **Eskom Strategic Corridors**

- Defined according to future generation and load balance scenarios;
- Three scenarios considered:
  - IRP
  - Increased renewable
  - Increased import
- 5 x Transmission corridors identified by Eskom
  - East Coast
  - West Coast
  - Central
  - Northern
  - International
- 100km wide- enables consideration of routing alternatives











#### **Environmental Constraints Map**

- Impact of EGI on the Environment
- A strategic level, GIS map that spatially represents the location and level of constraints associated with environmental features within the corridors
- Features considered can be separated into three categories:
  - The biophysical impact on the natural environment
    - Protected areas
    - Birds
    - Natural forest
  - The impact on the cultural or heritage significance of certain areas
    - World Heritage sites
    - National Heritage sites
  - Land use- areas zoned for land uses of strategic or national importance
    - SKA
    - Airports









# **Environmental Constraint Categories**

Features categorised according to four levels of sensitivity as follows:

Impact on EGI on Environment: Constraints Categorisation					
Level of Constraint	Description				
'No- Go'	The area is rated as extremely sensitive to the negative impact of development. As a result the area will either have very high conservation value, very high existing/potential socio-ecocomic value or hold legal protection status.				
High	The area is rated as being of high sensitivity to the negative impact of development. As a result the area will either have high conservation value and or existing/potential socio-economic value.				
Medium	The area is rated as being of medium sensitivity to the negative impact of development. As a result the area will either have mediums levels of conservation value and or medium levels of existing/potential socioeconomic value.				
Low	Area is considered to have <b>low levels of sensitivity</b> in the context of electricity grid infrastructure development.				









## **Engineering Constraints Map**

- Impact of Environment on EGI
- Identifies engineering constraints which are likely to impact on the life-time cost (both construction and maintenance) for the development of EGI in certain areas within the corridor
- Informative layer for Eskom only
- Eskom line engineering team provided inputs into cost assumptions and classifications
- Cost impact of each constraint feature compared against a baseline cost scenario
- <u>Baseline cost scenario</u>: Lifetime cost associated with the construction and maintenance of 1km of 400kV line over a 20 year period assuming optimal environmental conditions for construction and maintenance.
- Baseline cost scenario referred to as 'BLC index'
- Each constraint feature was introduced to the above scenario to determine impact on 'BLC index'









# **Engineering Constraint Categories**

Features categorised according to four levels of sensitivity as follows:

Impact of Environment on EGI: Constraints Categorisation					
Level of Constraint	Description				
'No-Go'	The lifetime cost associated with development in this area is >3 times BLC index.				
High	The lifetime cost associated with development in this area is between 2 and 3 times the BLC index.				
Medium	The lifetime cost associated with development in this area is between 1.5 and 2 times the BLC index.				
Low	The lifetime costs associated with development in this area is < 1.5 times the BLC index.				









#### **Opportunities Map**


- Identification of Positive features to enhance the economic and social component of the assessment
- Polarise the location of the corridors in the direction of national, regional or local economic or social development opportunities/priorities.
- Also identify key 'pull' factors for route placement within the corridors to maximise benefit and reduce negative impacts:
  - Recycling of existing transmission lines
  - Aligning to existing linear developments
  - Make use of existing servitude purchases
  - Seek out visual screening opportunities
  - Target degraded/transformed land
- Input from government (provincial and local) essential to understanding pull factors











## **Specialist Scoping Assessment**

- Specialist studies will be undertaken- high level desktop assessments
  - Validate and adapt constraints and opportunities mapping assumptions;
  - Undertake assessments where no existing data is available e.g. visual impact
  - Contribute to site specific protocol development









#### **Consultation Process**

- Comprehensive consultation process will be undertaken throughout the duration of the project
  - Expert Reference Group meetings
  - Project Steering Committee meetings
  - Provincial Government workshops
  - Local Government workshops
  - Sector Specific meetings (BUSA, CoM, Agric SA, SAPVIA, SAWEA, farmer associations, NGOs)
- Consultation will be accomplished through workshops, focus group meetings and an online consultation process









#### **Timeframes**

- 24 month project
- Corridors identified, assessed, supporting documentation completed and legal implementation process agreed by end of 2015
- Submitted for Cabinet approval thereafter and gazetted subsequently









#### **EGI SEA Provincial Road Show Schedule**

	Meeting	Date	Location
Trip 1	Western Cape Provincial Consultation	12 May 2014	Cape Town
Trip 2	Eastern Cape Provincial Consultation	13 May 2014	King Williams Town
Trip 3	Limpopo, Gauteng, Mpumalanga Provincial Consultation	22 May 2014	Pretoria
Trip 4	Free State Provincial Consultation	27 May 2014	Bloemfontein
	Northern Cape Provincial Consultation	28 May 2014	Kimberly
	North West Provincial Consultation	29 May 2014	Mahikeng
Trip 5	KwaZulu Natal Provincial Consultation	3 June 2014	Pietermaritzburg
Trip 6	Wind and Solar SEA and EGI SEA Expert Reference Group Meeting	11 June 2014	Pretoria







